

No tin-foil Hat

Ideas that lie outside the accepted mainstream of thought are often derided as the product of fevered, paranoid nutcases. Why not? It's easier than actually having to respond.

I know we are supposed to view the UN as an unmitigated good, but when the gloss is removed I'm not sure I like what I see.

First it is entirely autocratic, absolutely alien to traditions of representation and consensual government.

As a bureaucratic monolith it outdoes even the most avid of sovereign nations in its' addiction to central planning, rule by fiat, and an utter disregard for dissenting opinion.

(As a side issue, you might want to look into who and what actually funds much of their global initiatives and conferences.)

From a practical point of view it seems to possess expertise mainly in, generating massive central plans, making a mockery of debate, disarming victims of human rights abuses while failing to protect them, running huge dysfunctional refugee camps, and of course, spending money.

I guess something happened to my naivety gene, but the more grand the official pronouncement, the less likely I am to believe it.

It is interesting to note that in order to find out the nuts and bolts of Agenda 21, rather than the publicity releases and flowery speeches for public consumption, it is necessary to go to sites NOT run by the UN.

Some folks say sell the sizzle, not the steak, but what happens when there's no steak at all, just hydrolized vegetable protein?